

1 HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

10
11
12
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
15 AT TACOMA
16

17 VLADIK BYKOV, pro se,
18 Plaintiff,

19 v.
20

21 EACCELERATION CORP., d/b/a
22 WWW.EACCELERATION.COM, d/b/a
23 WWW. STOP-SIGN.COM,
24

25 Defendants.

Case No. CC05-5251RBL

ORDER

17 THIS MATTER comes on before the above-entitled Court upon Plaintiff's pro se Motion to Reopen
18 Case and for Recusal [Dkt. #102].

19 Having considered the entirety of the records and file herein, the Court finds and rules as follows:

20 On June 7, 2006 plaintiff filed a Stipulation for Dismissal of Case. [Dkt. #96]. Counsel for defendant
21 signed off on the motion. On June 8, 2006 this Court approved the motion and on June 9, 2006 entered the
22 plaintiff's proposed Order dismissing this case with prejudice and without costs to either party. [Dkt. #101]
23 (emphasis added). Plaintiff now seeks to reopen this case and has sought the recusal of the undersigned. The
24 recusal aspect of this motion was referred to the Chief Judge, and on October 18, 2006, Chief Judge Lasnik
25 entered an Order denying plaintiff's request. [Dkt. #110].

26 Now, before the Court is plaintiff's motion to reopen. He alleges that the Court denied him the
27 opportunity to fairly present his case by denying him the opportunity to take depositions, by granting partial
28 summary judgment for the defendant, and by entering protective orders requested by the defendant. The

1 record reflects that all these rulings were proper, and while the Court is not privy to plaintiff's decision-making
2 processes, the simple fact remains that this Court entered an Order at plaintiff's bequest dismissing this matter
3 with prejudice. There is no good reason to interfere with the finality of such an Order, and as such, plaintiff's
4 Motion to Reopen Case and for Recusal [Dkt. #102] is **DENIED**. Defendant's request for fees and costs
5 incurred in responding to the motion is also **DENIED**.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7 The Clerk shall send uncertified copies of this order to all counsel of record, and to any party appearing
8 pro se.

9 Dated this 6th day of November, 2006.

10 
11 Ronald B. Leighton
12 RONALD B. LEIGHTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28